NET-VISA improvements for regional and aftershock event identification at the IDC AGU 2018: S43B-06

Nimar Arora (Bayesian Logic, Inc.) Stuart Russell (Bayesian Logic, Inc. & Berkeley) Ronan Le Bras, Radek Hofman -- CTBTO

## NET-VISA is a ...

### • Generative Model

- For events
- For true detections caused by events at seismic stations (up to 14 phases)
- For noise detections at stations
- For coda detections generated in turn by large true detections
- Based on physics
- Learning Engine
  - Learns the parameters for the generative model from the data
- Inference Engine
  - Given the detections at all the stations predicts
    - all the events
    - the association of events to detections
  - Event hypothesis is based on the probability that an event occurred, and not on any hard rules
  - Based on a process to generate candidate events and then refine them in a series of "moves"

## **History and Current Status**

- Initial research started in 2009 based on outreach efforts by CTBTO
- Numerous analyst evaluations, studies have been performed by multiple independent entities
- Rough numbers: 88% Overlap with LEB and 50% Inconsistency with LEB
- Operational Deployment in January 2018 as a post-processing button that pulls in additional events missed by GA3
- Responsible for 10% of all REB events beginning mid-2018.
- Next:
  - Fully independent pipeline in progress
  - Inclusion of hydro and infra in operations
  - Heading to NDC-In-A-Box

## Three reasons why an event may not be built

1. The model doesn't propose a candidate event close enough to a true event.

- This is often a computation cost issue.
- 2. The model assigns a higher probability to the associated arrivals as noise/coda rather than from the event.
  - This is because we are not modeling some important aspect of the physics of event formation/detection.
- 3. The arrivals from an event are not automatically detected by DFX.
  - NOTE: This is beyond the scope of NET-VISA, currently.

## **Regional events**

- Built <u>primarily</u> with detections at stations less than 20 degrees away
- Typical phases -- Pn, Pg, Sn, Lg
- Body-wave magnitude (m\_b) is not computed for these events.



## Three reasons why an event may not be built

- 1. The model doesn't propose a candidate event close enough to a true event.
  - This is often a computation cost issue. --> Main problem with missed regional events!
- 2. The model assigns a higher probability to the associated arrivals as noise/coda rather than from the event.
  - This is because we are not modeling some important aspect of the physics of event formation/detection.
- 3. The arrivals from an event are not automatically detected by DFX.
  - NOTE: This is beyond the scope of NET-VISA, currently.

## **Candidate Proposal -- Invert arrivals**



### **Candidate Proposal -- Perturb Inverted arrivals**



Arrival 2

## **Candidate Proposal -- Keep best inverted event**

Associated Arrivals

Arrival 1

## **Candidate Proposal -- Improve best event**



### **Candidate Proposal -- Perturb Regional Arrivals**

At regional distances the perturbation finds events very close together.

Arrival 1

### **Candidate Proposal -- Perturb Regional Arrivals**

At regional distances the perturbation finds events very close together.

X

Arrival 1

## **Candidate Proposal -- Perturb Regional Arrivals**

The new perturbation for regional arrivals searches in a grid around the inverted arrival.



## **Summary of Improvements to Proposal**

- Search in a small space-time ball around the inverted arrival for regional arrivals (distance less than 20 degrees)
- Also do a uniform search over the whole earth using a sparse 5 degree grid and a simplified model.
  - The uniform proposer is very CPU intensive, and so we have to currently limit the grid size as well as the model.
- Infer the inverted event magnitude rather than attempt all magnitudes
  - $\circ$  This actually gives runtime improvements as well
- Ignore the coda model for the proposal phase.
  - This causes fewer detections to be classified as false arrivals, and hence more events are built.

## **Results for 2013 with LEB as reference**



#### Overlap with LEB by m\_b

# Matching Criteria - 2 common associations

3.6 3.5		Overlap	Inconsistency
	NET-VISA v 2.3.6	90.5 %	47.8%
	NET-VISA v 2.3.5	89.3%	43.4%
	SEL3 (GA)	70.6%	42.3%

## **ISC events not linked to IDC**

2013 <u>Comprehensive</u> ISC bulletin -- 379432 events Matching Criteria - 2 degrees 10 seconds

Bulletin Name	Overlap	Distance Error (km)
NET-VISA v 2.3.6	6341	55.9
NET-VISA v 2.3.5	3482	59.4
SEL3 (GA)	1007	51.9
LEB	1993	43.8



### **Max Arrival Distance of Events**



# **New Regional Events**

New Regional Events in v2.3.6



## Three reasons why an event may not be built

1. The model doesn't propose a candidate event close enough to a true event.

- This is often a computation cost issue.
- 2. The model assigns a higher probability to the associated arrivals as noise/coda rather than from the event.
  - This is because we are not modeling some important aspect of the physics of event formation/detection. --> Main problem with missed events in aftershocks of large events
- 3. The arrivals from an event are not automatically detected by DFX.
  - NOTE: This is beyond the scope of NET-VISA, currently.

# **Detection Probability in NET-VISA**

### Detection probability is learned from

- Body-wave magnitude
- Event depth
- Distance between the event and the station
- Phase label
- Station name
- If a large number of stations with high probability of detection for an event don't detect said event then the probability that the event is real becomes low!
- Existing model doesn't account for real-time conditions at the stations

## **Effect of Noise on Detections after Tohoku**









## **Change to Detection Probability**

### CTBTO is now collecting real-time noise level at each station

- Average energy levels collected in 1 minute intervals
- For array stations the median across the array is computed
- Reduce the effective body-wave magnitude of the event at a station if the station is noisy
- Learn the historic noise levels for each station
- Reduce magnitude by
  - **1** if noise above P95
  - .5 if noise between P90 P95
  - .25 if noise between P80 P90

## **New Detection Probability under noise**







Reference Bulletin: LEB. Time Range: 2011/3/11 - 2011/3/12



# Summary

- Performance of NET-VISA for regional events not at par with tele-seismic events.
- Root cause was related to candidate proposals for regional events.
- LEB-based analysis and ISC-based analysis both confirmed an improvement in regional events
- A number of events in the aftershock sequence of large quakes were being missed because of noise-saturation at stations
- New real-time noise data together with model improvements helped to recover these events